

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/708,476 Thomas Dietiker 03/05/2004 031193 2475 **EXAMINER** 22876 7590 05/18/2005 FACTOR & LAKE, LTD WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK 1327 W. WASHINGTON BLVD. PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** SUITE 5G/H CHICAGO, IL 60607 3736

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/708,476	DIETIKER, THOMAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric F Winakur	3736
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10 and 12-21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the second in the sec	ation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5/05.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark VELCRO has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that "comprising" (line 1) should read "comprises" and "including" (line 3) should read "includes". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 14 and 19 contain the trademark/trade name VELCRO. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or

Art Unit: 3736

trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe an attaching means and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1 7, 11, and 22 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gorski et al. (USPN 6,622,034 cited by Applicant). Applicant's attention is drawn to Figure 2 and the description of column 4, line 14 column 6, line 5, which discloses an oximeter sensor arrangement, as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorski et al. as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Delonzor et al. Gorski et al. teach all of the features of the clamied invention except that a copper conductive material is on the rear side of the detector. Delonzor et al. teach an oximetry sensor

Art Unit: 3736

that includes a copper Faraday shield on the detector to limit electromagnetic interference (Figure 2 and description thereof). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Gorski et al. with a copper Faraday shield on the detector, as taught by Delonzor et al., since this limits electromagnetic interference in the detected signal.

9. Claims 25 - 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solenberger in view of Gorski et al. Solenberger teaches a method to improve the durability of a sensor (particularly pulse oximetry sensors, such as that of Gorski et al.) including removing adhesive (attaching means), performing processing, and associating the sensor with new attaching means (Figure 1 and description thereof). Further, when needed the sensor is cleaned and another attaching means is used (column 3, lines 35 - 42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method of Solenberger with any oximetry sensor meeting the disclosed criteria, including that of Gorski et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Al-Ali et al. teach an alternate sensor arrangement with a foldable construction (Figures 13 - 15). None of the prior art teaches or suggests a sensor housing including a raised (curved) portion, in combination with the other claimed elements. Further, the prior art does not teach or suggest a device, as claimed, that includes an affixing means that is either 1) a flexible strap having at least one aperture or 2) a bracket overlaying one or more of the sensor housing or a wiring device that is electrically connected with

the sensor housing, the bracket attached to a backing substrate, in combination with the other claimed elements.

11. Claims 10 and 12 - 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 571/272-4736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571/272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Eric F Winakur **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3736